The Freeport-McMoRan Inc. (Freeport-McMoRan) 2018 Working Toward Sustainable Development (WTSD) report, including information referenced by the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Sustainability Reporting Standards published on the Freeport-McMoRan web site, has been prepared by the management of Freeport-McMoRan who are responsible for the collection and presentation of this information.

SCOPE

Corporate Integrity Ltd., in accordance with Freeport-McMoRan management’s instructions, was asked to perform:


2. A review of statements made in the 2018 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI Content Index.

Our assurance work covered Subject Matters 1 to 5 referred to in the ICMM SD Framework: Assurance Procedure.

Our assurance work scope covered all the Freeport-McMoRan mining and metals processing operations defined by the reporting boundaries of the 2018 WTSD report.

The work involved selective reviews of documents, interviews and site visits to:

- Cerro Verde Mine, Peru;
- El Abra Mine, Chile;
- Atlantic Copper Refinery, Spain;
- PT Freeport Indonesia (PTFI)- Grasberg Mine, Indonesia;
- Morenci Mine, USA
- Safford Mine, USA
- Sierrita Mine, USA; and
- Head Office, Phoenix, USA.

The review also involved a desktop review and telephone interview with management of:

- Stowmarket Chemical Conversion Plant, UK;
- Rotterdam Chemical Conversion Plant, Netherlands;
- Bayway Copper Product Manufacturing Plant, USA;
- Norwich Copper Rod Plant, USA

Freeport-McMoRan’s site visit programme is part of an ongoing process to conduct this assurance scope of work at all major Freeport-McMoRan mining and processing sites at least one time every three years. The programme includes annual site-level assurance at Cerro Verde and PTFI.

KEY FINDINGS

Based on our review, its scope and limitations:

- Nothing has come to our attention, which causes us to believe that the information reported by Freeport-McMoRan in the 2018 WTSD Report and information referenced by the GRI Content Index regarding Freeport-McMoRan implementation of the ICMM assurance commitment with respect to subject matters 1 to 4, has been materially misstated.

- Nothing has come to our attention to cause us to believe that the Freeport-McMoRan is not reporting in accordance with the GRI Standards: Core option.

METHODOLOGY

Through document reviews and interviews at the selected sites and head office, the work activity involved:
FOR SUBJECT MATTERS 1 TO 3 IN THE ICMM SD FRAMEWORK: ASSURANCE PROCEDURE

1. A review of Freeport-McMoRan policies and their alignment to ICMM’s 10 SD principles and ICMM Position Statements at corporate and site level.

2. A review of processes in place to identify and prioritise SD risks and opportunities at corporate and site level during the reporting period and the results of the identification and prioritization process.

3. A review of the systems and approaches that Freeport-McMoRan is using to manage its identified material SD risks and opportunities and to implement ICMM’s 10 SD principles at corporate and site level.

FOR SUBJECT MATTERS 4 AND 5 IN THE ICMM SD FRAMEWORK: ASSURANCE PROCEDURE

4. Review of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for the collection and assimilation of GRI reported performance information involving:
   a. An assessment to evaluate the risk of misstating reported information for quantitative topic-specific disclosures reported. The assessment looked at site-level reported performance data for GRI topic-specific disclosures and considered materiality in the context of corporate level reported information. On this basis, certain topic-specific disclosures were selected for verification activities at the selected sites. The assessment methodology was based on ISO 31000:2009, Risk management – Principles and Guidelines.
   b. A review of data reporting, collection and consolidation processes at Head Office.

5. Review of statements made in the 2018 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI Content Index regarding Freeport-McMoRan sustainable development processes and achievements in 2018 including its implementation of the ICMM SD Framework. This involved VP-level and Executive Officer-level management interviews, including interviews with the CEO, CFO, COO and CAO and with members of the company’s Sustainable Development Leadership Team. This also involved documentation reviews in support of corporate-level reported information.

6. Review and selective testing for accuracy of qualitative statements made in the 2018 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI Content Index.

7. Assessment of Freeport-McMoRan’s claim to be reporting in accordance with the GRI Standards: Core option.

LIMITATIONS OF THE WORK PERFORMED

This work has been carried out by checking samples of information and documents that have been made available during the period of assurance activity by Freeport-McMoRan.

Information provided that has been deemed to be independently verified by other third parties has been considered to be appropriately verified, and was not subjected to re-verification by Corporate Integrity Ltd.

Our evidence gathering procedures have been designed to obtain a limited level of assurance on which to base our conclusions.

The assurance statement provided by Corporate Integrity Ltd. is not intended to be used as advice or as the basis for any decisions, including, without limitation, financial or investment decisions.

STATEMENT OF INDEPENDENCE

The independence of our team has been reviewed and none of the Corporate Integrity assessors involved in this project presents a conflict of interest to the integrity of this assurance statement.

STANDARD APPLIED TO THIS ENGAGEMENT

International Standard on Assurance ISEA3000 (revised) – Assurance Engagements other than Audits & Reviews of Historical Financial Information’ issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB).

Corporate Integrity Ltd.