The Freeport-McMoRan Inc. (Freeport-McMoRan) 2017 Working Toward Sustainable Development (WTSD) report, including information referenced by the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) G4 Content Index published on the Freeport-McMoRan web site has been prepared by the management of Freeport-McMoRan who are responsible for the collection and presentation of this information.

SCOPE

Corporate Integrity Ltd., in accordance with Freeport-McMoRan management’s instructions, was asked to perform:


2. A review of statements made in the 2017 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI G4 Content Index.

Our assurance work covered Subject Matters 1 to 5 referred to in the ICMM SD Framework: Assurance Procedure.

Our assurance work scope covered all the Freeport-McMoRan mining and metals processing operations defined by the reporting boundaries of the 2017 WTSD report. The scope did not cover any content or statements relating to the company’s remaining oil and gas assets.

The work involved selective reviews of documents, interviews and site visits to:

- Cerro Verde Mine, Peru
- PT Freeport Indonesia (PTFI)- Grasberg Mine, Indonesia
- El Paso Refinery and Rod Mill, USA
- Chino mine, USA (included coverage of Tyrone mine, USA due to shared management arrangements with Chino)
- Bagdad Mine, USA
- Head Office, Phoenix, USA

The review also involved a desktop review and telephone interview with management of the Freeport Kokkola cobalt refinery in Finland.

Freeport-McMoRan’s site visit programme is part of an ongoing process to conduct this assurance scope of work at all major Freeport-McMoRan mining and processing sites at least one time every three years. The programme includes annual site-level assurance at Cerro Verde and PTFI.

KEY FINDINGS

Based on our review, its scope and limitations:

- Nothing has come to our attention, which causes us to believe that the information reported by Freeport-McMoRan in the 2017 WTSD Report and information referenced by the GRI G4 Content Index regarding Freeport-McMoRan implementation of the ICMM assurance commitment with respect to subject matters 1 to 4, has been materially misstated.

- Nothing has come to our attention to cause us to believe that Freeport-McMoRan is not reporting in accordance with the GRI G4 Guidelines core option.

METHODOLOGY

Through document reviews and interviews at the selected sites and head office, the work activity involved:

FOR SUBJECT MATTERS 1 TO 3 IN THE ICMM SD FRAMEWORK: ASSURANCE PROCEDURE

1. A review of Freeport-McMoRan policies and their alignment to ICMM’s 10 SD principles and ICMM Position Statements at corporate and site level

2. A review of processes in place to identify and prioritise SD risks and opportunities at corporate and site level during the reporting period and the results of the identification and prioritization process

3. A review of the systems and approaches that Freeport-McMoRan is using to manage its identified material SD risks and opportunities and to implement ICMM’s 10 SD principles at corporate and site level
FOR SUBJECT MATTERS 4 AND 5 IN THE ICMM SD FRAMEWORK:
ASSURANCE PROCEDURE

4. Review of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for the collection and assimilation of GRI G4 reported performance information involving:
   a. An assessment to evaluate the risk of misstating reported information for quantitative indicators reported. The assessment looked at site-level reported performance data for GRI indicators and considered materiality in the context of corporate-level reported information. On this basis certain indicators were selected for verification activities at the selected sites. The assessment methodology was based on ISO 31000:2009, Risk management - Principles and Guidelines.
   b. A review of data reporting, collection and consolidation processes at Head Office.

5. Review of statements made in the 2017 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI G4 Content Index regarding Freeport-McMoRan sustainable development processes and achievements in 2017 including its implementation of the ICMM SD Framework. This involved VP-level and Executive Officer-level management interviews and documentation reviews in support of corporate-level reported information, including interviews with members of the company’s Sustainable Development Leadership Team. A telephone interview was also held with the CEO and CFO to discuss observations of the 2017 assurance cycle.

6. Review and selective testing for accuracy of qualitative statements made in the 2017 WTSD report and information referenced by the GRI G4 Content Index.

7. Assessment of Freeport-McMoRan’s claim to be reporting in accordance with the GRI G4 core option.

LIMITATIONS OF THE WORK PERFORMED

This work has been carried out by checking samples of information and documents that have been made available during the period of assurance activity by Freeport-McMoRan.

Information provided that has been deemed to be independently verified by other third parties has been considered to be appropriately verified, and was not subjected to re-verification by Corporate Integrity Ltd.

Our evidence gathering procedures have been designed to obtain a limited level of assurance on which to base our conclusions.

The assurance statement provided by Corporate Integrity Ltd. is not intended to be used as advice or as the basis for any decisions, including, without limitation, financial or investment decisions.

STATEMENT OF INDEPENDENCE

The independence of our team has been reviewed and none of the Corporate Integrity assessors involved in this project presents a conflict of interest to the integrity of this assurance statement.

STANDARD APPLIED TO THIS ENGAGEMENT

International Standard on Assurance ISEA3000 (revised) - Assurance Engagements other than Audits & Reviews of Historical Financial Information issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB).

Corporate Integrity Ltd.